Spark SQL: A compiler from queries to RDDs

Wenchen Fan SDCC 2016



Agenda

- Why Spark SQL?
- The Frontend: Catalyst
- The Backend
- The Tungsten Project
- Benchmark
- •What' s next



Background: What is an RDD?

- Dependencies
- Partitions
- Compute function: Partition => Iterator[T]



Background: What is an RDD?

- Dependencies
- Partitions
- Compute function: Partition => Iterator[T]

Opaque Computation



Background: What is an RDD?

- Dependencies
- Partitions
- Compute function: Partition => Iterator[T]

Opaque Data



RDD Programming Model

Construct execution DAG using low level RDD operators.

pdata.map(lambda x: (x.dept, [x.age, 1])) \
 .reduceByKey(lambda x, y: [x[0] + y[0], x[1] + y[1]]) \
 .map(lambda x: [x[0], x[1][0] / x[1][1]]) \
 .collect()



Spark SQL Come to Rescue

• More efficient: Only process structural data, this limits what can be expressed but enables optimization.



Spark SQL Come to Rescue

- More efficient: Only process structural data, this limits what can be expressed but enables optimization.
- High-level API: SQL, DataFrame/Dataset



Write less code

pdata.map(lambda x: (x.dept, [x.age, 1])) \ .reduceByKey(lambda x, y: [x[0] + y[0], x[1] + y[1]]) \ .map(lambda x: [x[0], x[1][0] / x[1][1]]) \ .collect()

SELECT dept, AVG(age) FROM pdata GROUP BY dept

pData.groupBy("dept").agg(avg("age"))









Not Just Less Code, Faster Too!



Time to Aggregate 10 million int pairs (secs)



The not-so-secret truth...

Spark SQL is about more than SQL.





DataFrames, Datasets and SQL share the same optimization/execution pipeline

Catalyst: The frontend R Logical Physical Optimization Planning Physical Code Analysis SQL AST Generation Model Selected Unresolved Optimized **Physical** Logical Plan **DataFrame RDDs** Physical Logical Plan Logical Plan Plans Cost Plan Dataset Catalog



How Catalyst Works: An Overview





How Catalyst Works: An Overview





Trees: Abstractions of Users' Programs

```
SELECT sum(v)
FROM (
  SELECT
    t1.id,
    1 + 2 + t1.value AS v
  FROM t1 JOIN t2
  WHERE
    t1.id = t2.id AND
    t2.id > 50 * 1000) tmp
```



Trees: Abstractions of Users' Pression

SELECT SUM(v) FROM (SELECT t1.id, 1 + 2 + t1.value AS vFROM t1 JOIN t2 WHERE t1.id = t2.id AND t2.id > 50 * 1000) tmp An expression represents a new value, computed based on input values





Logical Plan

 A Logical Plan describes computation on datasets without defining how to conduct the computation





Physical Plan

• A Physical Plan describes computation on datasets with specific definitions on how to conduct the computation





How Catalyst Works: An Overview





• A function associated with every tree used to implement a single rule

1 + 2 + t1.value





- A transform is defined as a Partial Function
- Partial Function: A function that is defined for a subset of its possible arguments

```
val expression: Expression = ...
expression.transform {
    case Add(Literal(x, IntegerType), Literal(y, IntegerType)) =>
    Literal(x + y)
}
```

Case statement determine if the partial function is defined for a given input



```
val expression: Expression = ...
expression.transform {
   case Add(Literal(x, IntegerType), Literal(y, IntegerType)) =>
    Literal(x + y)
}
```

```
1 + 2 + t1.value
```



```
val expression: Expression = ...
expression.transform {
   case Add(Literal(x, IntegerType), Literal(y, IntegerType)) =>
    Literal(x + y)
}
```

```
1 + 2 + t1.value
```



```
val expression: Expression = ...
expression.transform {
   case Add(Literal(x, IntegerType), Literal(y, IntegerType)) =>
    Literal(x + y)
}
```

```
1 + 2 + t1.value
```



```
val expression: Expression = ...
expression.transform {
   case Add(Literal(x, IntegerType), Literal(y, IntegerType)) =>
    Literal(x + y)
}
```





Combining Multiple Rules



Combining Multiple Rules

≉da













- Analysis: Transforms an Unresolved Logical Plan to a Resolved Logical Plan
 - Unresolved => Resolved: Use Catalog to find where datasets and columns are coming from and types of columns
- Logical Optimization: Transforms a Resolved Logical Plan to an Optimized Logical Plan
- Physical Planning: Transforms a Optimized Logical
 Plan to a Physical Plan

The Backend Execution Engine





Volcano—An Extensible and Parallel Query Evaluation System

Goetz Graefe

Abstract—To investigate the interactions of extensibility and parallelism in database query processing, we have developed a new dataflow query execution system called Volcano. The Volcano effort provides a rich environment for research and education in database systems design, heuristics for query optimization, parallel query execution, and resource allocation.

Volcano uses a standard interface between algebra operators, allowing easy addition of new operators and operator implementations. Operations on individual items, e.g., predicates, are imported into the query processing operators using *support functions*. The semantics of support functions is not prescribed; any data type including complex objects and any operation can be realized. Thus, Volcano is *extensible* with new operators, algorithms, data types, and type-specific methods.

Valeana includes two novel meta-operators. The choose plan

tem as it lacks features such as a user-friendly query language, a type system for instances (record definitions), a query optimizer, and catalogs. Because of this focus, Volcano is able to serve as an experimental vehicle for a multitude of purposes, all of them open-ended, which results in a combination of requirements that have not been integrated in a single system before. First, it is modular and extensible to enable future research, e.g., on algorithms, data models, resource allocation, parallel execution, load balancing, and query optimization heuristics. Thus, Volcano provides an infrastructure for experimental research rather than a final research prototype in itself. Second, it

G. Graefe, Volcano— An Extensible and Parallel Query Evaluation System, In IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering 1994



Volcano Iterator Model

- Standard for 30 years: almost all databases do it
- Each operator is an "iterator" that consumes records from its input operator




SELECT name FROM person WHERE age < 30





class ParquetScan { def execute(): RDD[Row] = { ... } }



```
class FilterExec(condition: Expression) {
  def execute(): RDD[Row] = {
    child.execute().mapPartitions { input =>
      val predicate: Row => Boolean = row => {
        condition.eval(row)
      input.filter(predicate)
```



```
class ProjectExec(projectList: Seq[Expression]) {
  def execute(): RDD[Row] = {
    child.execute().mapPartitions { input =>
      val project: Row => Row = ...
      input.map(project)
    }
}
```



val tableScan: RDD[Row] = ... tableScan.mapPartitions { input => val predicate: Row => Boolean = ... input.filter(predicate) }.mapPartitions { input => val project: Row => Row = ... input.map(project) ł





val tableScan: RDD[Row] = ... tableScan.mapPartitions { input => val predicate: Row => Boolean = ... input.filter(predicate) }.mapPartitions { input => val project: Row => Row = ... input.map(project) ł



Data Exchange

SELECT dept, AVG(age) FROM pdata GROUP BY dept





Data Exchange









Data Exchange

Optimized Execution with Project Tungsten

Binary encoding of row object Expression code generation Whole stage code generation Vectorization



The overheads of JVM objects

"abcd

- Native: 4 bytes with UTF-8 encoding
- Java: 48 bytes

VALUE

...

...

•••

0

java.lang.String object internals: OFFSET SIZE TYPE DESCRIPTION

0 4 (object header)
4 4 (object header)
8 4 (object header)
12 4 char[] String.value
16 4 int String.hash
20 4 int String.hash32

Instance size: 48 bytes (reported by Instrumentation API)

12 byte object 20 bytes data + overh 8 byte hashcode







Less Objects Creation



Manual Memory Management



How to Evaluate Expression







Expression Code Generation

DataFrame Code / SQL

df.where(df("year") > 2015)

Catalyst Expressions

GreaterThan(year#234, Literal(2015))

Low-level Java code

boolean filter(Object baseObject) {
 int offset = baseOffset + bitSetWidthInBytes + 3*8L;
 int value = Platform.getInt(baseObject, offset);
 return value34 > 2015;
} JVM intrinsic JIT-ed to
 pointer arithmetic



Expression Code Generation

Saves a lot of virtual function calls and boxing costs! class FilterExec(condition: Expression) {
 def execute(): RDD[Row] = {
 child.execute().mapPartitions { input =>
 val predicate: Row => Boolean =
 PredicateGenerator.generate(condition)
 input.filter(predicate)



After Expression Code Generation



val tableScan: RDD[Row] = ... tableScan.mapPartitions { input => val predicate: Row => Boolean = ... input.filter(predicate) }.mapPartitions { input => val project: Row => Row = ... input.map(project)





What We Really Run

val predicate = ... // generated code
val project = ... // generated code
input.filter(predicate).map(project)



What We Really Run





Whole Stage Code Generation

Fusing operators together:

- Identify chains of operators ("stages")
- Compile each stage into a single function



Whole Stage Codegen: Planner



Whole Stage Codegen: Generate code like handwritten





Where Can We Push Further?









Why columnar?

- 1. More efficient: denser storage, regular data access, easier to index into
- 2. More compatible: Most high-performance external systems are already columnar (numpy, TensorFlow, Parquet); zero serialization/copy to work with them
- 3. Easier to extend: process encoded data





High throughput

Note: End-to-end, single thread, single column, and data originated in Parquet on disk

Putting it All Together

(ns)	primitive	Spark 1.6	Spark 2.0	
	filter	15 ns	1.1 ns	
	sum w/o group	14 ns	0.9 ns	5-30x
	sum w/ group	79 ns	10.7 ns	Speedups
	hash join	115 ns	4.0 ns	
	sort (8-bit entropy)	620 ns	5.3 ns	
	sort (64-bit entropy)	620 ns	40 ns	
	sort-merge join	750 ns	700 ns	
	Parquet decoding (single int column)	120 ns	13 ns	



(ns)	primitive	Spark 1.6	Spark 2.0	
	filter	15 ns	1.1 ns	
	sum w/o group	14 ns	0.9 ns	
	sum w/ group	79 ns	10.7 ns	
	hash join	115 ns	4.0 ns	Radiv Sor
	sort (8-bit entropy)	620 ns 620 ns	5.3 ns 40 ns	10-100x Speedups
	sort (64-bit entropy)			
	sort-merge join	750 ns	700 ns	opeedope
	Parquet decoding (single int column)	120 ns	13 ns	



(ns)	primitive	Spark 1.6	Spark 2.0	
	filter	15 ns	1.1 ns	
	sum w/o group	14 ns	0.9 ns	
	sum w/ group	79 ns	10.7 ns	
	hash join	115 ns	4.0 ns	
	sort (8-bit entropy)	620 ns	5.3 ns	
	sort (64-bit entropy)	620 ns	40 ns	Shuffling
	sort-merge join	750 ns	700 ns	still the
	Parquet decoding (single int column)	120 ns	13 ns	bottleneck



ns)	primitive	Spark 1.6	Spark 2.0	
	filter	15 ns	1.1 ns	
	sum w/o group	14 ns	0.9 ns	
	sum w/ group	79 ns	10.7 ns	
	hash join	115 ns	4.0 ns	
	sort (8-bit entropy)	620 ns	5.3 ns	
	sort (64-bit entropy)	620 ns	40 ns	
	sort-merge join	750 ns	700 ns	10x
	Parquet decoding (single int column)	120 ns	13 ns	Speedu





databricks⁻

Query #

What's Next?

Spark 2.1, 2.2 and beyond

- 1. SPARK-16026: Cost Based Optimizer
 - Leverage table/column level statistics to optimize joins and aggregates
 - Statistics Collection Framework (Spark 2.1)
 - Cost Based Optimizer (Spark 2.2)
- 2. Boosting Spark's Performance on Many-Core Machines
 - In-memory/ single node shuffle
- 3. Improving quality of generated code and better integration with the in-memory column format in Spark


Further Reading

Apache Spark as a Compiler: Joining a Billion Rows per Second on a Laptop Deep dive into the new Tungsten execution engine



by Sameer Agarwal, Davies Liu and Reynold Xin Posted in **ENGINEERING BLOG** | May 23, 2016

http://tinyurl.com/project-tungsten



Thank you.

